			Rs.	a.	p.
(*d*)	1926-27	....	429	4	0
	1927-28	....	13,366	0	6
	1928-29	....	467	9	0
	1929-30	....	1,000	0	0
	1930-31	....	15,576	15	3

(*e*) (i) Not settled.

(ii) Not calculated yet.

(*f*) and (*g*) Kadur, Shimoga and possibly Chitaldrug Districts. Further details cannot be given now.

MESSRS. G. P. RANGAPPA (Honnali Taluk) and B. GOPALACHAR (Thirthahalli Taluk).—

Q.—46. Will the Government be pleased to state the following:—

(*a*) What is the amount of remission of land revenue granted in the whole State during this year?

(*b*) To whom and in what places was it granted?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

A.—46. (*a*) Rs. 77,451-1-6.

(*b*) It is not possible to collect the information.

MESSRS. U. VEERABASAPPA (Shikarpur Taluk) and K. HOLEYAPPA (Sorab Taluk).—

Q.—47. Will the Government be pleased to state the following:—

(*a*) Has it come to the notice of Government through the raiyats of Shikarpur Taluk that the taluk officers altered the figures in the *pahani* in red ink; and if so, what action has been taken regarding this?

(*b*) When did the Government receive the resolutions passed at the Raiyats' Conference held from 13th to 15th May 1931; why has no reply been given to them after an enquiry; were the resolutions of a seditious character or in the nature of representations of the people's grievances; if the former, why did the Revenue Commissioner sympathise with the members of the deputation and not take action against them?

(*c*) Are the Government aware of the Amildar's order issued on the 5th July 1931 to the effect that the Deputy

Commissioner would consider the question of remitting half the wet assessment on enquiry and that the other half should be paid up?

(*d*) Are the Government aware that in spite of all this the Deputy Commissioner offered threats to the raiyats of Kusgur and Hirejumboor on the 15th July 1931?

(*e*) Are the Government aware that the Deputy Commissioner accompanied by the District Superintendent of Police and 60 to 70 policemen camped at Shiralkoppa on 23rd July 1931 and surrounded the Hirejumboor and other villages with police force and 30 to 40 local Mussalmans and that the Sub-Division Officer and the Amildar made the said Mussalmans to enter the kitchens in the houses of Lingayets even though the defaulters were ready to hand over properties which could be distrained and pulled down the zinc sheets from the roofs of the houses?

(*f*) Are Government aware of the telegrams to Government representing their grievances being withheld?

(*g*) Were there not sufficient grounds for acceding to the requests of the raiyats?

(*h*) Are Government aware that, in spite of the representations regarding the condition of the raiyats and the alterations in the *pahani* made by the Amildar being brought to the notice of Government in the last Session of the Representative Assembly, the Revenue Officers adopted the harsh measures in Udugani Hobli for collecting land revenue?

(*i*) Were there any Mahomedan defaulters in that hobli and if so, what steps were taken against them for the recovery of their arrears?

(*j*) Will the Government be pleased to enquire into this?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

A.—47. (*a*) Yes. Government have made enquiries and are satisfied that there is no truth in the allegation that the *pahanis* were altered at the instance of the taluk officers or that the taluk officers altered the *pahani* in red ink.

(*b*) On 16th June 1931. The resolutions and other representations received in this connection were forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner for a report after a thorough personal enquiry. The report of the Deputy Commissioner has been only recently received and it is under the consideration of Government. The other questions do not arise.

(*c*) Government are aware that the Amildar was instructed to inform the raiyats that the full amount of dry

and garden assessment and half the wet assessment should be paid up and that the other half of the wet assessment may be withheld pending the inspection of the Deputy Commissioner and a full enquiry by him into the representations. No promise of remission was held out.

(*d*) Government are not aware that any threats were offered. When the Deputy Commissioner visited Kusgur village, he advised the people to pay their dues as they had not paid anything during kistbandi notwithstanding the promises made and they were told that coercive measures would have to be taken if payments were not made.

(*e*) It is a fact that the Deputy Commissioner accompanied by the Superintendent of Police and some Police force camped at Shiralkoppa. Police help was considered necessary to present a breach of the peace in executing the processes of the Revenue Laws as the attitude of some raiyats in regard to the payment of land revenue was defiant. No Mussalmans were employed to enter Lingayet houses. The defaulters who concealed themselves had their movables produced by their own people. In only one case were zinc sheets removed as the defaulter failed to produce other movables.

(*f*) No.

(*g*) No. The Deputy Commissioner has reported that there is no case for the grant of remission under the rules in force.

(*h*) Special measures had to be taken for the recovery of the dues in Shikarpur Taluk as the people under the influence of some agitators adopted a determined attitude not to pay taxes.

(*i*) Yes. Similar action has and is being taken against them.

(*j*) Government have enquired into this matter.

MESSRS. V. V. IYER (Shimoga Taluk), B. GOPALACHAR (Thirthahalli Taluk), N. ANANDA RAO (Shimoga Municipality), M. KRISHNAPPA (Nagar Taluk), M. BASAPPA (Kumsi Sub-Taluk) and D. BASAPPA GOWDA (Sorab Taluk).—

Q.—48. Will the Government be pleased to furnish the following information about the Bhadravati Iron Works :—

(*a*) (i) The pay of the General Manager ?

(ii) The duties assigned to him ?

(iii) The pay of the General Manager of the other similar Iron Works—Tata Iron and Steel Company ?